Contribution ID: 7adbe5ee-d5e7-4fe5-a54d-fb9824993c83

Date: 14/09/2020 12:34:08

Consultation on Digital for Cultural Heritage

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

Europe's cultural institutions such as libraries, archives, non-commercial galleries and museums have vast and rich collections, and it is important that they are not just stored in vaults but preserved for future generations and made accessible to the larger public. Furthermore, Europe's monuments, historical buildings and archaeological sites face increasing threats related to natural disasters, accidents, pollution, mass tourism, vandalism or even deterioration over time. The accidental fire at Notre-Dame in Paris in April 2019 was only one tragic reminder of the risks faced by our most valuable cultural heritage assets, and thus of the need to urgently act in this domain.

Advanced technologies, such as 3D, artificial intelligence (AI), augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR), bring unprecedented opportunities, today, to digitise cultural heritage, for preservation, conservation, restoration, research, as well as for a broader, more democratic online access and re-use by various sectors, such as tourism.

The COVID-19 pandemic confirmed once more the importance of enabling the cultural heritage sector to seize the opportunities provided by digital technologies. While some of cultural heritage institutions have managed to use these technologies and turn the crisis into new opportunities for creative expression, more engagement and expansion of audiences, others have been heavily affected, with significant loss of revenue.

It is thus essential to ensure that, in an age of a major transformation of the society and the economy, the cultural heritage sector is equipped with the best tools, resources, knowledge and skills to make the most of the digital transition.

As part of this process, the European Commission is evaluating one of the main policy instruments on digitisation, online access and digital preservation of cultural heritage material, Commission
Recommendation of 27 October 2011 on the digitisation and online accessibility of cultural material and digital preservation (2011/711/EU) (the "Recommendation"). The Recommendation contains a set of guidelines to Member States and cultural heritage institutions in order to foster the digitisation of cultural heritage material across Europe, to improve online and cross-border access to such content, and to contribute to its digital preservation.

The aim of this consultation is to gather the views of citizens, competent authorities in the Member States, national and regional cultural heritage institutions, international organisations, concerned stakeholder umbrella organisations, the Europeana ecosystem of organisations and professionals, technology stakeholders with research, products and services in ICT for cultural heritage on:

- a) the importance of digitisation and digital transformation in the cultural heritage sector and the ways of supporting such processes.
- b) the relevance and future of the Recommendation referred to above.

Your participation and feedback to this consultation will help the European Commission to shape the appropriate policy framework and actions to preserve Europe's valuable cultural assets and to give better visibility to its unique cultural diversity.

Depending on your answers, the questionnaire may take approximately 8 minutes.

At the end of the questionnaire, you will be asked if you would like to answer a few additional in-depth questions related to the Recommendation and, optionally, to upload a document (e.g. position paper).

About you

Bulgarian

*Language of my contribution

Croatian		
Czech		
Danish		
Dutch		
English		
Estonian		
Finnish		
French		
Gaelic		
German		
Greek		
Hungarian		
ltalian		
Latvian		
Lithuanian		
Maltese		
Polish		
Portuguese		
Romanian		
Slovak		
Slovenian		

Spanish
Swedish
*I am giving my contribution as
Academic/research institution
Business association
Company/business organisation
Consumer organisation
EU citizen
Environmental organisation
Non-EU citizen
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
Public authority
Trade union
Other
*First name
Francesco
*Surname
Guerzoni
*Email (this won't be published)
f.guerzoni@evartists.org
*Organisation name
255 character(s) maximum
European Visual Artists
*Organisation size
Micro (1 to 9 employees)
Small (10 to 49 employees)
Medium (50 to 249 employees)
Large (250 or more)
——— 3 · (———————————————————————————————————

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Bangladesh

Check if your organisation is on the <u>transparency register</u>. It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

121604011075-40		

*Country of origin			
Please add your country of origin,		O Libyo	Saint Martin
AfghanistanÅland Islands	Djibouti Dominica	LibyaLiechtenstein	Saint Pierre
Alano Islanos	Dominica	Liechtenstein	
Albania	Dominican	Lithuania	and Miquelon Saint Vincent
Albania	Republic	Littiuariia	and the
	Перавне		Grenadines
Algeria	Ecuador	Luxembourg	Samoa
American	Egypt	Macau	San Marino
Samoa	Баль	Madad	Carr Marino
Andorra	El Salvador	Madagascar	São Tomé and
, 	_: •••:	adagacca.	Príncipe
Angola	Equatorial	Malawi	© Saudi Arabia
C	Guinea		
Anguilla	Eritrea	Malaysia	Senegal
Antarctica	Estonia	Maldives	Serbia
Antigua and	Eswatini	Mali	Seychelles
Barbuda			
Argentina	Ethiopia	Malta	Sierra Leone
Armenia	Falkland Islands	Marshall	Singapore
		Islands	
Aruba	Faroe Islands	Martinique	Sint Maarten
Australia	Fiji	Mauritania	Slovakia
Austria	Finland	Mauritius	Slovenia
Azerbaijan	France	Mayotte	Solomon
			Islands
Bahamas	French Guiana	Mexico	Somalia
Bahrain	French	Micronesia	South Africa
	Polynesia		

Moldova

	French Southern and Antarctic Lands		South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
Barbados	Gabon	Monaco	South Korea
Belarus	Georgia	Mongolia	South Sudan
Belgium	Germany	Montenegro	Spain
Belize	Ghana	Montserrat	Sri Lanka
Benin	Gibraltar	Morocco	Sudan
Bermuda	Greece	Mozambique	Suriname
Bhutan	Greenland	Myanmar	Svalbard and
		/Burma	Jan Mayen
Bolivia	Grenada	Namibia	Sweden
Bonaire Saint	Guadeloupe	Nauru	Switzerland
Eustatius and			
Saba			
Bosnia and	Guam	Nepal	Syria Syria
Herzegovina			
Botswana	Guatemala	Netherlands	Taiwan
Bouvet Island	Guernsey	New Caledonia	Tajikistan
Brazil	Guinea	New Zealand	Tanzania
British Indian	Guinea-Bissau	Nicaragua	Thailand
Ocean Territory			
British Virgin	Guyana	Niger	The Gambia
Islands			
Brunei	Haiti	Nigeria	Timor-Leste
Bulgaria	Heard Island	Niue	Togo
	and McDonald		
	Islands		
Burkina Faso	Honduras	Norfolk Island	Tokelau
Burundi	Hong Kong	Northern	Tonga
		Mariana Islands	
Cambodia	Hungary	North Korea	Trinidad and
0 0			Tobago
Cameroon	Iceland	9	Tunisia

		North	
		Macedonia	
Canada	India	Norway	Turkey
Cape Verde	Indonesia	Oman	Turkmenistan
Cayman Islands	Iran	Pakistan	Turks and
			Caicos Islands
Central African	Iraq	Palau	Tuvalu
Republic			
Chad	Ireland	Palestine	Uganda
Chile	Isle of Man	Panama	Ukraine
China	Israel	Papua New	United Arab
		Guinea	Emirates
Christmas	Italy	Paraguay	United
Island			Kingdom
Clipperton	Jamaica	Peru	United States
Cocos (Keeling)	Japan	Philippines	United States
Islands			Minor Outlying
			Islands
Colombia	Jersey	Pitcairn Islands	Uruguay
Comoros	Jordan	Poland	US Virgin
			Islands
Congo	Kazakhstan	Portugal	Uzbekistan
Cook Islands	Kenya	Puerto Rico	Vanuatu
Costa Rica	Kiribati	Qatar	Vatican City
Côte d'Ivoire	Kosovo	Réunion	Venezuela
Croatia	Kuwait	Romania	Vietnam
Cuba	Kyrgyzstan	Russia	Wallis and
			Futuna
Curação	Laos	Rwanda	Western
			Sahara
Cyprus	Latvia	Saint	Yemen
		Barthélemy	
Czechia	Lebanon	0	Zambia

		Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha	
DemocraticRepublic of theCongo	Lesotho	Saint Kitts and Nevis	Zimbabwe
Denmark	Liberia	Saint Lucia	
Publication privacy se	ettinas		

* F

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

I agree with the personal data protection provisions

Some specific questions about your profile

1. What is your age group?	
18 or under	
[©] 19-24	
25-34	
[©] 35-44	
[©] 45-54	
[©] 55-64	

2. In which sector are you or is your organisation active?

Culture
Cartaro

Creative arts/media

65 or above

Education or research

- Tourism
 Technology/ICT
 Other (please specify)
- 4. What is your current occupation?
 - High-school student
 - University student
 - Artist/creator/designer
 - Teacher/researcher/academic
 - Professional in the cultural heritage sector
 - Professional in the creative industries
 - Professional in another sector but I'm a culture and art enthusiast
 - Technology/ICT professional
 - Civil servant/public administrator
 - Other (please specify)

Cultural heritage sector in the context of the COVID-19 crisis

5. How did the crisis affect your organisation?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I don't know / no opinion
Loss of income	0	•	0	0	0	0
Dismiss staff	0	0	•	0	0	0
Struggle with advanced digital skills needed to manage the online presence	0	0	0	•	0	0
Suspend contracts with free- lance workers	0	0	0	•	0	0

6. Did you increase your online offer during the confinement period? If yes, what kind of online offer do you consider important to sustain after the pandemics?

Yes. Some of our members cooperated with Museums and galleries to license collections so that they could be made available online for the public to enjoy, while ensuring that artists got a fair remuneration. Cultural Heritage Institutions should continue seeking similar cooperation with Collective Management Organisations (CMOs) and they will find it everywhere in the EU.

the	future?						
(Very important						
(Important						
(Not very important						
(Not important at all						
(I don't know/no opinion						
by	Do you envision additional your institution in the future ovative experiences)? Plea	e (e.g. mo	re digitis	sation, mo	ore online	access ar	
	More monitoring of copyrighted con-	tent available	online and	I more licensi	ng accordingly	y.	
Dig	9. Are you already making or will you make use of digital technologies to overcome the difficulties posed by social distancing, limits in the number of visitors and access limitations? Not applicable. Digitising cultural heritage 10. Based in particular on the lessons learned from te COVID-19 crisis, to what extent do you agree with the following statements about the importance of digital						
lec	hnologies for cultural herita	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I don't know / no opinion
	Digital technologies can help in the re-construction of damaged cultural heritage.	©	•	0	0	0	0
	Digital technologies can help to increase the number of people accessing cultural heritage through the Internet.	0	•	0	0	0	0
	Digitisation, online access and digital preservation of cultural heritage are important to society.	•	0	•	0	0	0

7. Based on the lessons learned from the COVID-19 crisis, how important do you

think digital technologies will be in order to make your organisation more resilient in

11. In your view, in particular in the light of the COVID-19 crisis, how important is it to digitise the following categories of cultural heritage?

	Very important	Important	Not very important	Not important at all	I don't know / no opinion
Endangered cultural heritage objects, buildings or sites (threatened by deterioration, neglect, destruction or alteration, pollution, or disappearance).	0	•	0	0	•
National masterpieces, as selected by experts or academics in the field	0	0	•	0	0
Collections from museums, libraries, archives (including audiovisual and sound archives)	0	•	•	0	0
Historical buildings, monuments and archaeological sites	0	•	0	0	0
Intangible cultural heritage (for example, festivals, traditions, or national and regional customs)	0	0	0	0	•

12. Are there other categories of cultural heritage that you think would be important to digitise?

Whatever category of cultural heritage is digitized, there should be a requisite of authorizations by rightholders to the extent that copyrighted content is involved in the digitisation projects.

13. In your view, how valuable are the following applications of 3D technologies in the area of cultural heritage:

	Very valuable	Valuable	Not valuable	Not valuable at all	l don't know /no opinion
For creating digital twins of cultural heritage buildings, monuments and sites such as Notre Dame, before they are damaged by fire or other disasters	0	•	•	0	•
For creating high-quality 3D models of museum objects like the ones in the Green					
	0	•	0	0	0

Vault jewellery collection, before they are lost as a result of theft or another catastrophe					
For creating 3D models of cultural heritage buildings, monuments and sites or museum object for online visualisation	0	0	•	0	•
For creating 3D models of cultural heritage buildings, monuments and sites or museum object for immersive experiences	0	0	0	0	0
Other (please specify)	0	0	0	0	0

Using and re-using digitised cultural heritage assets

 In your opinion, in the light of the COVID-19 crisis, how important is it to
improve online access to digital cultural heritage?

- Very important
- Important
- Not very important
- Not important at all
- I don't know / no opinion
- 15. (Optional) Which of the following forms of presenting cultural heritage are appealing to you? You can select multiple answers, or no answer if you do not know or have no opinion on this aspect.
 - Curated content (online exhibitions, ...)
 - Tutorials, online classes on specific topics
 - Serious games (for example, for education or for scientific exploration)
 - □ Virtual visits of sites (such as in 3D or VR)
 - Personalised recommendations
 - Other (please specify)

If Other, please specify

Whatever form has obtained a license by rightsholders to the extent that copyrighted content is involved.

16. (Optional) In your opinion, what are the main difficulties or shortcomings when accessing or re-using European cultural heritage content online? You can select multiple answers, or no answer if you do not know or have no opinion on this aspect.

If C	explanatory The content The explana I don't know	quality (for e text) that I like is atory text is where to fin ht and re-us se specify)	example, low s not availal not availab nd digitised	ble online le in my lang l European ci	images, or poo uage ultural heritage ts are unclear	
		-			xperience and legal to and clarifications	•
	In your view, I	-				tised cultural
		Very important	Important	Not very important	Not important at all	I don't know / no opinion
	Research	0	0	0	0	•
	Education	0	0	0	0	•
	Tourism	0	•	0	0	0
	Creative industries	0	•	0	0	0
	Technology /ICT	0	0	0	0	•
	Are there othe		<u>-</u>	nink the re-us	e of digitised o	cultural heritage
mo the	Do you think to bre active and co emselves on the intent online on Strongly agr Agree	creative cult e basis of di a website c	ural particip	oation, e.g. b	y developing n	

Disagree					
Strongly disagree					
I don't know / no opinion					
Digital transformation					
20. In your view, and in the light of the important aspects of digital transforms select multiple answers: Adopting advanced digitisation to Creating a more agile digital envawareness, culture, leadership) Acquiring advanced digital skills Carrying out mass digitisation of Providing online access to digitis Using digitised content in immers technologies such as VR and AF Organising virtual exhibitions online Putting in place curation structure Other (please specify).	echnologicischen in the echnologicischen existing a sed contentions ive experience exper	e cultural es (e.g. in te and new n nt riences w	heritage s rms of pro naterial ith the he	sector? Yo	
21. In your view, what are the core second cultural heritage sector should acquired Digitisation (2D and/or 3D) Data and metadata management Copyright and licensing Interactive technologies (e.g. VR) Other (please specify)	e? You ca	n select n	•		of the
22. In your view, how valuable are the technologies in the area of cultural he	_	application	ons of dat	ta and AI	
	Very valuable	Valuable	Not very valuable	Not valuable at all	l don't know /no

Neither agree nor disagree

opinion

at all

Automated annotation of digitised cultural heritage (e.g. metadata describing and classifying an object or an image)	©	©	•	©	0
Automated recognition of objects and fragments of objects (e.g. identifying the source object when just fragments are available)	•	•	•	•	•
Reconstruction of lost cultural heritage information (e.g. predicting what a building such as a castle looked like originally when only some ruins are left and no other specific information about it)	•	•	•	•	•
Extraction of new knowledge from cultural heritage data (e.g. research on influences between artists, styles, or periods)	0	0	•	•	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0

23. In your view, how valuable would the following digital infrastructure elements be for the area of cultural heritage:

	Very valuable	Valuable	Not very valuable	Not valuable at all	I don't know /no opinion
Common data spaces for sharing cultural heritage data and building up high-value data sets	0	0	©	0	•
Repository infrastructure for digitised cultural heritage content	0	0	0	0	•
Cloud infrastructure for research on digitised cultural heritage material	0	0	0	0	•
Supercomputing capabilities for large-scale cultural heritage simulations (e.g. models of entire cities or regions across time)	0	0	0	0	•
Other	0	0	0	0	0

24. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the importance of and the need to support digital transformation in the cultural heritage sector in the aftermath of the COVID-19 crisis?

|--|

The EU and the Member States should intensify their actions in order to help cultural heritage institutions to address the challenges and seize the opportunities of the digital era.	•	•	•	•	•	•
The digital transformation of European cultural heritage institutions should be addressed and coordinated at EU level.	•	•	•	•	•	•
The EU's support to Europeana , Europe's only digital platform for cultural heritage, is important.	0	0	0	0	0	•

Specific questions about Recommendation 2011/711/EU

25.	Would y	you like to	answer me	ore specific	questions	about the	Recommendation	?
-----	---------	-------------	-----------	--------------	-----------	-----------	----------------	---

- Yes
- [◎] No

26. (This and the following questions in this section are all dependent on selecting Yes under the previous question) Do you agree or disagree with the following statements about the Recommendation?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	I don't know / no opinion
The provisions of the Recommendation have been implemented effectively and have achieved their objective to improve conditions in the areas addressed.	•	•	•	•	•	•
The benefits of implementing the Recommendation are significant and justify the costs, which are proportionate.	0	0	•	•	0	0
The Recommendation has been coherent with other EU policies and initiatives (for example, with the Public Sector Information Directive, relevant						

copyright provisions including the Orphan Works Directive and the Directive on Copyright in the Digital Single Market, or Europeana's mission).		0	©	•	©	0
As an instrument at EU level, the Recommendation provides added value, compared to the initiatives that Member States would have taken in the absence of it.	•	•	•	•	•	•
Having such a Recommendation continues to be important, but it should be updated to reflect better today's needs in the area of digitised cultural heritage, and increase the potential of Europe's cultural heritage.	•	•	•	•	•	•

- 27. In your view, what would be the best future course of action concerning the Recommendation?
 - Broadening the scope of the Recommendation to include cultural heritage currently not addressed or minimally addressed (e.g. intangible and immovable cultural heritage)
 - Broadening the scope of the Recommendation to include the digital transformation of cultural heritage institutions, in addition to digitisation, online access and digital preservation of cultural heritage
 - Both of the above
 - No change, continue with the provisions of the Recommendation as they stand
- 28. Do you have something else to suggest for the future of the Recommendation?

Following up on the provisions of Art 12 DSM Directive 2019/790 and allow for Extended Collective Licensing systems to cover also uses of visual works falling within the scope of this Recommendation. It would maximise accessibility and legal certainty for CHIs and ensure fair remuneration for rightsholders.

29. Which of the following would be especially important to you in the event of a revision of the Recommendation, considering the lessons learned from the COVID-19 crisis? You can select multiple answers.

Digital transformation of the cultural heritage sector, including capacity
building through acquisition and development of advanced digital literacy
and skills
Cultural heritage currently not addressed (e.g. intangible and born-digital
cultural heritage)
More consideration of immovable cultural heritage (archaeological sites,
monuments and historic buildings)
Pan-European efforts for 3D digitisation of cultural heritage artefacts,
monuments and sites
New quantitative targets for immovable cultural heritage to be digitised in 3D
Europeana's role as the EU flagship for online access to cultural heritage
and as an enabler of digital transformation
Qualitative targets per Member State for Europeana content
Encouraging Member States to provide high-quality content to Europeana
More multilingual content, functionality and tools to enable users to
experience content in their own language
Creating high-value cultural heritage data sets (e.g. sets of digitised cultural
artefacts with high-quality metadata) for helping innovation in AI and for
research
Provisions on online engagement, online participation, and online re-use
Empowerment of citizens as consumers, creators and 'prosumers'
Ethical and privacy issues arising in the context of digitising cultural heritage
and providing online access to it
Other (please specify)
If Other, please specify
Recommend uses of copyrighted material falling within the scope of the Recommendation to be covered by
licenses, eg. Extended Collective Licensing.
Other suggestions and comments
Other suggestions and comments
30. Do you have other suggestions for or comments on improving digitisation,
online access, digital preservation and digital transformation in the cultural heritage
sector?

31. If you like, you can also submit a file (e.g. position paper).

The maximum file size is 1 MB

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

947f8518-98a0-45f6-a4e5-13efddd16a59

 $/ Additional_text_to_EC_consultation_on_Digital_for_Cultural_Heritage.pdf$

Contact

CNECT-G2@ec.europa.eu